

Erratum de “A triangular central limit theorem under a new weak dependence condition”, 17 septembre 2001

1 Résultats principaux

Theorem 1 Assume that the E-valued sequence satisfies the 's'-weak dependence condition and the triangular array $(X_{n,k})_{1 \leq k \leq k_n}$ defined as before satisfies assumption (6), then if

$$(k_n M_n + k_n^{\frac{2}{3}}) M_n \delta_n \rightarrow 0, \quad k_n M_n \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \min(\lambda_n \theta_p, \Delta_n + \delta_n^2) \rightarrow 0, \quad k_n \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \min(M_n \lambda_n \theta_p, \Delta_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$S_n \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2), \quad \text{in distribution.}$$

Theorem 2 Assume that the E-valued sequence satisfies the 'a'-weak dependence condition and the triangular array $(X_{n,k})_{1 \leq k \leq k_n}$ defined as before satisfies assumption (6), then if

$$(k_n M_n + k_n^{\frac{2}{3}}) M_n \delta_n \rightarrow 0, \quad k_n M_n \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \min(\lambda_n^2 \theta_p, \Delta_n + \delta_n^2) \rightarrow 0, \quad \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \min(\lambda_n^2 \theta_p, \Delta_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$S_n \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2), \quad \text{in distribution.}$$

D'autre part dans l'hypothèse (6), on peut remplacer $v_{k,n} = \text{Var } S_{k,n} - \text{Var } S_{k-1,n} \geq \alpha > 0$ par $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}^* / v_{k,n} > 0 \forall n \geq n_0, \forall 1 \leq k \leq n$.

Il faut aussi remplacer la remarque “This result also yields the (standard) CLT $n^{-1/2} \sum_1^n \xi_k \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ for a stationary and bounded weakly dependent sequence with $\sigma^2 > 0$ and $\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \theta_p < \infty$ ” de la page 65 par “A variation on the proof of the main result yields the standard CLT”.

On remplace aussi 2.2.1. et 2.2.2. par

s-dependence:

Now $n M_n^2 \delta_n + n^{\frac{2}{3}} M_n \delta_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{nh}} + \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{3}}}$, so that we just need $nh \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty$. Let $a \in]0, 1]$,

$$n M_n \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \min(\lambda_n \theta_p, \Delta_n + \delta_n^2) \leq \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\theta_p}{h^2} \right)^a \left(\sqrt{\frac{h}{n}} \right)^{1-a} = \frac{1}{(nh)^{\frac{1-a}{2}}} h^{1-3a} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \theta_p^a.$$

We need that for some $a \leq \frac{1}{3}$, $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \theta_p^a < \infty$.

$$n \sum \min(\Delta_n, M_n \lambda_n \theta_p) \leq \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \min \left(h, \frac{n \theta_p}{n h^2} \right) \leq \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \theta_p^a h^{-2a} h^{1-a}.$$

If for some $0 < a < \frac{1}{3}$, $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \theta_p^a < \infty$, the previous expression tends to 0 when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

a-dependence: Now, $n M_n^2 \delta_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{nh}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We also consider

$$n M_n \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \min(\lambda_n^2 \theta_p, \Delta_n + \delta_n^2) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \min \left(\sqrt{\frac{h}{n}}, \frac{\theta_p}{n^{\frac{1}{2}} h^{\frac{7}{2}}} \right) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{nh}} h^{1-4a} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \theta_p^a \quad \text{for } a \in]0, 1].$$

¹Université de Cergy-Pontoise, Laboratoire de mathématiques, Bâtiment A4, Site Saint Martin, 95011 Cergy-Pontoise Cedex, France. email: prieur@math.u-cergy.fr, phone: 33-1-34-25-66-24.

So we need that exists $a \leq \frac{1}{4}$ with $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \theta_p^a < \infty$.

$$n \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \min(\lambda_n^2 \theta_p, \Delta_n) = \sqrt{nh} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \min\left(\sqrt{\frac{h}{n}}, \frac{\theta_p}{n^{\frac{1}{2}} h^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \leq h^{1-4a} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \theta_p^a.$$

If there is some positive $a < \frac{1}{4}$ with $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \theta_p^a < \infty$, we conclude the proof.

2 Preuves des résultats principaux

On remplace, dans la preuve du Théorème 1, (11) page 67 par:

$$|\text{Cov}(h''_{k,n}(S_{k-1,n}), X_{n,k}^2)| \leq \max(2C_2, C_3) M_n \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \min(\lambda_n \theta_j, \Delta_n + \delta_n^2). \quad (1)$$

On remplace (15) et (16) page 67 par:

$$|\Delta_{k,n}^{(1)}(h)| \leq \max(2C_2, C_3) \left[M_n^2 \delta_n + M_n \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} \min(\lambda_n \theta_p, \Delta_n + \delta_n^2) + \sum \min(M_n \lambda_n \theta_p, \Delta_n) \right] \quad (2)$$

et

$$\left| \sum_{k=2}^{k_n} \Delta_{k,n}^{(1)}(h) \right| \leq C \left[k_n M_n^2 \delta_n + k_n M_n \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \min(\lambda_n \theta_p, \Delta_n + \delta_n^2) + k_n \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \min(M_n \lambda_n \theta_p, \Delta_n) \right]. \quad (3)$$

Dans la preuve du Théorème 2, on remplace

$$|\text{Cov}(h''_{k,n}(S_{k-1,n}), X_{n,k}^2)| \leq C M_n \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \min(\lambda_n^2 \theta_j, \Delta_n),$$

par

$$|\text{Cov}(h''_{k,n}(S_{k-1,n}), X_{n,k}^2)| \leq C M_n \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \min(\lambda_n^2 \theta_j, \Delta_n + \delta_n^2).$$

On remplace

$$|\Delta_{k,n}^{(1)}(h)| \leq C [M_n^2 \delta_n + M_n \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} \min(\lambda_n^2 \theta_p, \Delta_n) + \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} \min(\lambda_n^2 \theta_p, \Delta_n)]$$

par

$$|\Delta_{k,n}^{(1)}(h)| \leq C [M_n^2 \delta_n + M_n \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} \min(\lambda_n^2 \theta_p, \Delta_n + \delta_n^2) + \sum_{p=1}^{k-1} \min(\lambda_n^2 \theta_p, \Delta_n)].$$

Enfin on remplace

$$\left| \sum_{k=2}^{k_n} \Delta_{k,n}^{(1)}(h) \right| \leq C k_n M_n^2 \delta_n + k_n M_n \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \min(\lambda_n^2 \theta_p, \Delta_n) + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \min(\lambda_n^2 \theta_p, \Delta_n)$$

par

$$\left| \sum_{k=2}^{k_n} \Delta_{k,n}^{(1)}(h) \right| \leq C k_n M_n^2 \delta_n + k_n M_n \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \min(\lambda_n^2 \theta_p, \Delta_n + \delta_n^2) + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \min(\lambda_n^2 \theta_p, \Delta_n).$$